STATE OF CALIFORNIA MONITORING & LABORATORY DIVISION

QUALITY ASSURANCE SECTION

VOLUME V

AUDIT PROCEDURES MANUAL FOR AIR QUALITY MONITORING

APPENDIX F

SYSTEM AUDIT PROCEDURES FOR ACID DEPOSITION

APPENDIX F

SYSTEM AUDIT PROCEDURES FOR ACID DEPOSITION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | | <u>PAGES</u> | REVISION | <u>DATE</u> |
|-------|-------------------------|--|--------------|----------|-------------|
| F.1.0 | | S AUDIT PROCEDURES FOR ACID TION PROGRAMS | 1 | 0 | 01-01-88 |
| | 1.0.1 1.0.2 | Introduction Preliminary Assessment and Systems Audit Planning | | | |
| F.1.1 | | NES FOR CONDUCTING S AUDITS | 1 | 0 | 01-01-88 |
| F. | 1.1.1 1.1.2 1.1.3 | Pre-audit Activities On-Site Activities Post-Audit Activities | | | |
| F.2.0 | CRITERI | A FOR EVALUATION | 17 | 0 | 01-01-88 |
| | 2.0.1 2.0.2 | Introduction Reporting Organization Homogeneity Checklist | | | |
| F.2 | 2.0.3 | Overall Program Operation Questionnaire | | | |
| F.2 | 2.0.4 | Other Questionnaires | | | |
| F.3.0 | FIELD C | PERATIONS EVALUATION | 15 | 0 | 01-01-88 |
| | 3.0.1 3.0.2 | Introduction General Guidance for Site Documentation | | | |
| | 3.0.3 3.0.4 | Site Evaluation Reporting Questionnaires | | | |
| F.4.0 | LABOR. | ATORY OPERATIONS EVALUATION | N 40 | 0 | 01-01-88 |
| | 4.0.1 4.0.2 | Procedure Laboratory Questionnaires | | | |
| F.5.0 | REFERE | ENCES | 1 | 0 | 01-01-88 |

FIGURES

| | | Page |
|--------|---|------|
| Figure | F.2.0.1Reporting Organization Homogeneity Checklist | 2 |
| Figure | F.2.0.2 Overall Program Operation Questionnaire | . 3 |
| Figure | F.2.0.3General Operations Questionnaire | 4 |
| Figure | F.2.0.4Staffing Questionnaire | 6 |
| Figure | F.2.0.5Network Design Questionnaire | 7 |
| Figure | F.2.0.6 Network Operation Questionnaire | 9 |
| Figure | F.2.0.7 Data & Record Keeping Questionnaire | 12 |
| Figure | F.2.0.8 Quality Assurance Questionnaire | . 16 |
| Figure | F.3.0.1 Site Documentation Review Questionnaire | 3 |
| Figure | F.3.0.2 Field Site Evaluation Questionnaire | . 7 |
| Figure | F.4.0.1 Analytical Laboratory Questionnaire | 2 |
| Figure | F.4.0.2 General Laboratory Operations Questionnaire | . 3 |
| Figure | F.4.0.3 Gravimetric Measurement Questionnaire | . 6 |
| Figure | F.4.0.4ph Measurement Questionnaire | . 7 |
| Figure | F.4.0.5 Conductance Measurement Questionnaire | . 10 |
| Figure | F.4.0.6 Automated Colorimetry Measurement Questionnaire | . 13 |
| Figure | F.4.0.7 Ion Chromatography Analysis Questionnaire | . 16 |
| Figure | F.4.0.8 Atomic Absorption Analysis Questionnaire | . 19 |
| Figure | F.4.0.9 Laboratory Quality Control Questionnaire | . 22 |
| Figure | F.4.0.10Data Entry Questionnaire | 27 |
| Figure | F.4.0.11Data Bank Validation Questionnaire | . 29 |
| Figure | F.4.0.12Ion Validation Questionnaire | . 30 |
| Figure | F.4.0.13Laboratory Management Questionnaire | . 40 |
| 094974 | -1/88-BBL | |

STATE OF CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD

AIR MONITORING QUALITY ASSURANCE

VOLUME V

AUDIT PROCEDURES MANUAL

APPENDIX F.1.0

SYSTEMS AUDIT PROCEDURES FOR ACID DEPOSITION PROGRAMS

MONITORING & LABORATORY DIVISION

JANUARY 1988

Volume V Section F.1.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 1 of 1

F.1.0 SYSTEMS AUDIT PROCEDURES FOR ACID DEPOSITION PROGRAMS

F.1.0.1 Introduction - A systems audit is an annual on-site review and inspection of field sites and laboratory operations of an acid deposition monitoring program to assess its compliance with established regulations governing the collection, analysis, validation, and reporting of acid deposition data. A systems audit includes an appraisal of the following program areas: network management, field operations, laboratory operations, data management, quality assurance and data reporting. On-site interviews should include a review of the data processing procedure from field acquisition through reporting into the computer system.

The system audit is facilitated by the use of questionnaires designed to provide information about specific portions of the overall program. For example, a questionnaire is available specifically for laboratory operations. This questionnaire deals only with the laboratory portion of the program, while another specific questionnaire deals only with the field site evaluation. These questionnaires can be used together to provide a system audit of the whole program, or can be used individually to provide a system audit on a portion of the program.

F.1.0.2 Preliminary Assessment and Systems Audit Planning - In performing a systems audit of a given agency, the auditor is seeking a complete and accurate picture of that agency's current acid deposition monitoring operations. The Audit Team should perform the on-site inspections and interviews with key program personnel, evaluate some acid deposition monitoring sites operated by the agency, and scrutinize the data processing procedures.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD

AIR MONITORING QUALITY ASSURANCE

VOLUME V

AUDIT PROCEDURES MANUAL

APPENDIX F.1.1

GUIDELINES FOR CONDUCTING SYSTEMS AUDITS

MONITORING & LABORATORY DIVISION

JANUARY 1988

Volume V Section F.1.1 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 1 of 1

F.1.1 GUIDELINES FOR CONDUCTING SYSTEMS AUDITS

- F.1.1.1 Pre-audit Activities At the beginning of each hydrological year, a tentative schedule for on-site systems audits of the field sites and laboratories should be established. As a part of this scheduling, the auditor should indicate any special requirements such as access to specific areas or observation of specific activities. The auditor should inform the agency that they will receive a questionnaire which is to be completed. Once the completed questionnaire has been returned, it will be reviewed and the audit team will prepare a checklist detailing specific points for discussion with agency personnel.
- F.1.1.2 On Site Activities The audit team should meet initially with the agency's director or his designee to discuss the scope, duration and activities involved with the audit. This should be followed by a meeting with key personnel identified from the completed questionnaires.

Once the audit is completed, the audit team members should meet again with key personnel and with the agency's director or designee to present their findings. This is also the opportunity for the agency to present their disagreements. The audit team should simply state the audit results including an indication of the potential data quality impact.

F.1.1.3 <u>Post-Audit Activities</u> - The preparation of the Systems Audit Report requires the audit team to compare the documented standard operating procedures with the observed accomplishments and deficiencies of the audit findings.

The draft Systems Audit Report is submitted to the audited agency together with a letter thanking agency personnel for their assistance, time, and cooperation. If no written comments are received from the audited agency by the audit team within thirty (30) calendar days from the report date, the report will be formally distributed without further changes.

If the agency has written comments or questions concerning the audit report, they should be reviewed for incorporation into a final report form within thirty (30) days of receipt of the written comment.

The systems audit report should include an executive summary; an introduction; the audit results based on the responses of the questionnaires and on-site observations; a discussion of the interpretation of the audit results; recommendations for improving the program; and a copy of the completed questionnaires.

The audit results should include information on the network size and siting criteria, on the staff and equipment, on the data management system, and on the quality assurance and quality control functions.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD

AIR MONITORING QUALITY ASSURANCE

VOLUME V

AUDIT PROCEDURES MANUAL

APPENDIX F.2.0

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION

MONITORING & LABORATORY DIVISION

JANUARY 1988

Volume V Section F.2.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 1 of 17

F.2.0 CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION

F.2.0.1 Introduction - A systems audit is normally conducted in three steps. First a questionnaire is sent to the organization prior to the audit visit. The organization should then fill out the questionnaire as completely as possible and return it with sufficient documentation through the use of attachments. Second, the questionnaire is reviewed by the auditor to become familiar with the system operations and to determine any weaknesses and potential problem areas. Third, after the questionnaire has been reviewed, the on-site interviews are scheduled. The preliminary review of the questionnaire serves the purpose of allowing a greater amount of time to be spent on-site examining potential problem areas.

For the field audit, the auditor should interview the site operator. For the laboratory audit, the auditor should interview the laboratory manager, any person who has direct analytical responsibility for precipitation sample analysis, personnel associated with data validation, analysis and reporting, and the person identified by the laboratory manager who has responsibility for quality assurance. The information gathered from these interviews should be complete and up to date and should present an adequate picture of the current and proposed levels of implementation of all quality assurance activities, including internal quality control.

At the audit conclusion an exit interview informs the organization of the audit results and discusses any potential data impacting problems uncovered. During this activity, the auditor also explains the reporting procedures and schedule. The questionnaires described below are general to the systems audit. Other questionnaires specific to the field and laboratory operations evaluation are presented in Sections F.3.0 and F.4.0, respectively.

- F.2.0.2 Reporting Organization Homogeneity Checklist The reporting organization homogeneity checklist is described in Figure F.2.0.1. The checklist is intended for use when a complete system audit is being conducted. The checklist should be completed by the person responsible for the organization's overall program and should be returned to the auditor.
- F.2.0.3 Overall Program Operation Questionnaire The overall program operation questionnaire is described in Figure F.2.0.2. It is intended for use when a complete system audit is being conducted. The questionnaire should be completed by the person responsible for the overall program, and should be returned to the auditor.
- F.2.0.4 Other Questionnaires Figures F.2.0.3 through F.2.0.8 describe the questionnaires for general operation, staffing, network design, network operation, data and record keeping and quality assurance. These questionnaires are intended to cover the management and organizational activities of the program.

Volume V Section F.2.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 2 of 17

REPORTING ORGANIZATION HOMOGENEITY CHECKLIST

| | | <u>Yes</u> | <u>No</u> |
|----|--|------------|-------------|
| 1. | Field operations, for all local agencies, conducted by a common team of field operators? | | |
| 2. | Common calibration facilities are used for all local agencies? | - | _ |
| 3. | Precision checks performed by common staff for all local agencies? | | , |
| 4. | Accuracy checks performed by common staff for all local agencies? | | |
| 5. | Data handling follows uniform procedures for all local agencies? | | |
| 6. | Central data processing facilities used for all reporting? | | |
| 7. | Traceability of all standards established by one central support laboratory? | | |
| 8. | One central analytical laboratory handles all analyses for manual methods? | | |

Volume V Section F.2.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 3 of 17

OVERALL PROGRAM OPERATION QUESTIONNAIRE

| Questionnaire Completion Date: |
|--|
| On-Site Visit Date: |
| Organization Name and Address: |
| |
| |
| Telephone No.: ATTS: Commercial: () |
| Person Completing Questionnaire: |
| Position: |
| Telephone No.: () |
| Organization Director: |
| Monitoring Supervisor: |
| Quality Assurance Supervisor: |
| On-Site Audit Conducted By: |
| Affiliation of Auditor(s): |
| |
| Persons Present During Entrance Interview: |
| |
| Persons Present During Exit Interview: |
| |

Figure F.2.0.2

Volume V Section F.2.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 4 of 17

GENERAL OPERATIONS QUESTIONNAIRE

| 1. | How | long has the program been operational? |
|----|--------------|---|
| | | Number of Sites |
| | | Operating Since |
| 2. | What | is the objective of the monitoring program? |
| | • | Baseline |
| | | Trends |
| | | Other |
| 3. | Prov part | ide a current organizational chart indicating each person's iclpation in the current program. |
| 4. | Have | the following been prepared (P), approved (A), issued (I), revised (R)? |
| | | Quality Assurance Project Plan Date |
| | , | Documentation on sites and network Date |
| | | Standard Operating Procedures for Field Sampling Date |
| | | Standard Operating Procedures for Analytical Lab Date |
| | | Laboratory Quality Control Manual Date |
| 5. | Have Sect | the documents listed above been provided to the Quality Assurance ion? Yes No if not attach current copies. |
| 6. | Does | the program operate in compliance with: |
| | , | EPA Protocol? Yes No Comments |
| | | NADP Protocol? Yes No Comments |
| | | NTN Protocol? Yes No Comments |
| | | ARB Protocol? Yes No Comments |

Figure F.2.0.3

Example of a General Operations Questionnaire

Volume V Section F.2.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 5 of 17

GENERAL OPERATIONS QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| • | | Other Protocol? Yes No Comments |
|----|-------------|---|
| | | |
| | | |
| 7. | Was meet | the operating protocol derived from any of the above and modified to network needs? |
| | | Explain: |
| | | |
| | | |
| 8. | Indi | cate number of sites currently operational as part of network? |
| 9. | Prov | ide a listing of the current sites and the responsible persons. |
| | How n | many of these sites have collocated instrumentation for precipitation precipitation are ments? Please list the sites. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Figure F.2.0.3

Example of a General Operations Questionnaire (continued)

Volume V Section F.2.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 6 of 17

STAFFING QUESTIONNAIRE

| | following adequate | | | |
|---|--|------------|------------------------|------------------------------|
| St | aff Size? | Yes | No | Comment |
| Or | ganization? | Yes | No | Comment |
| St | aff Qualifications? | Yes | No | Comment |
| St | aff Utilization? | Yes | No | Comment |
| inaivio | ual's training inclu | iding peri | od and | training method (course?, |
| on-the- | -Job?, etc.). | 1 | | |
| _ | | ns and per | lodical | s available to the staff f |
| -Please | list the publication | ns and per | | s available to the staff f |
| Please referer | list the publication | | 12 | |
| Please referen | list the publication | ly convers | sant witi | h appropriate standard |
| Please referen | list the publication nce. | ly convers | sant with | h appropriate standard s? |
| Please referen | list the publication nce. aff members adequate ing procedures to cares No Comme | ly convers | sant with | h appropriate standard s? |
| Please reference Are sta operati | Ilst the publication nce. aff members adequate ing procedures to cares No Comments at aff member been identified in the comments at a first at a | ly convers | sant with bb duties | h appropriate standard s? |

Figure F.2.0.4 .

. Example of a Staffing Questionnaire

Volume V Section F.2.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 7 of 17

NETWORK DESIGN QUESTIONNAIRE

| 1. | Are | all sites documented according to specified criteria? |
|----|--------------|--|
| | | Yes No Comment |
| | | Please attach an example of the documentation for one site. |
| 2. | Has obje | the network been designed in accordance with stated program ctives? Include a brief description of any siting compromises. |
| | | Yes No Comment |
| 3. | ls ti | here a written plan describing the overall network? |
| | | Yes No Title Date |
| 4. | Does each | the organization have records identifying the status and history of site? Does it include: |
| | (a) | Some site identification? |
| | | Yes No Comment |
| | (b) | Site coordinates and elevation? |
| | | Yes No Comment |
| | (c) | Photos or sildes, taken to adequately show siting? |
| | | Yes No Comment |
| | (d) | Date monitoring initiated? |
| | | Yes No Comment |
| | (e) | Model, manufacturer and serial numbers of equipment at the site and sampling schedule? |
| | | Yes No Comment |

Figure F.2.0.5

Example of a Network Design Questionnaire

Volume V Section F.2.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 8 of 17

NETWORK DESIGN QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| | (f) | Reason for periods of missing data? |
|----|------------|--|
| | | Yes No Comment |
| 5. | ls e | quipment installed at the sites in accordance with: |
| | (a) | Manufacturer's specifications? |
| | | Yes No Comment |
| | (b) | Network guidelines? |
| | | Yes No Comment |
| | (c) | Sound scientific principles? |
| | | Yes No Comment |
| 6. | Does | the network design consider: |
| | (a) | Access? |
| | | Yes No Comment |
| | (b) | Power availability? |
| | | Yes No Comment |
| | (c) | Potential localized interferences such as closely located sources? |
| | | Yes No Comment |
| 7. | How | often are sites visited by the primary operator? |
| 8. | | Often are samples removed? |

Figure F.2.0.5

Example of a Network Design Questionnaire (continued)

Volume V Section F.2.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 9 of 17

NETWORK OPERATION QUESTIONNAIRE

| 1. | Is equipment in the network operated in accordance with the organization's standard operating procedures (where such exist)? |
|----|--|
| | Yes No Comment |
| 2. | Are the operating procedures compatible with: |
| | (a) EPA guidelines? |
| | Yes No Comment |
| | (b) Manufacturer's recommendations? |
| | Yes No Comment |
| 3. | is equipment operated on a (documented) schedule? (Please attach a copy for one site.) |
| | Yes No Comment |
| 4. | Are an adequate supply of spare parts and expendables maintained at all sites by the network to minimize downtime? |
| | Yes No Comment |
| 5. | Are all sites operated year round? Yes No |
| | Explain schedule: |
| | |
| 6. | Is a bound logbook maintained at all sites? Yes No |
| | containing records of site visits? Yes No |
| | problems? Yes No |
| | data? Yes No |

Figure 'F.2.0.6

Example of a Network Operation Questionnaire

Volume V Section F.2.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 10 of 17

NETWORK OPERATION QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| 7. | isr | outine minor maintenance performed regularly at all sites? |
|----|--------------|--|
| | | Yes No Comment |
| | | By whom? |
| • | | (Name, position) |
| 8. | Does | the person performing such maintenance possess (or have access to): |
| | (a) | Standard troubleshooting/maintenance procedures? Yes No |
| | | Comment |
| | (b) | Instrument manuals? |
| | | Yes No Comment |
| | (c) | Other guidance? Explain |
| | | · |
| 9. | Indi duti | cate which tasks (if any) are included as part of site operation es? |
| | | <u>Task</u> <u>Frequency</u> |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Figure P.2.0.6

Example of a Network Operation Questionnaire (continued)

Volume V Section F.2.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 11 of 17

NETWORK OPERATION QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| | | At Site (Yes/No) | | Measurement Device |
|------|---|--------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| | Conductivity | - | | |
| | pH | | | |
| | Precipitation depth | | | |
| | Weight/Volume | | - | |
| | Other | ··· | | |
| | (Attach pages as nec | essary) | | |
| | | | | |
| 1. H | ow are samples shipped esponse.) | to analytica | al lab? (Please cir | rcle appropriate |
| | ow are samples shipped esponse.) | | al lab? (Please cir ld measurements | rcle appropriate by truck |
| | esponse.) | without fie | | |
| | esponse.) | without fie | ld measurements | by truck |
| | In buckets | without fie | ld measurements | by truck hand delivered |
| | In buckets | without fie | ld measurements | by truck hand delivered by mail |
| | esponse.) in buckets in bottles other* | without fie | id measurements measurements | by truck hand delivered by mail |
| | esponse.) in buckets in bottles other* | without fie with field : | id measurements measurements | by truck hand delivered |

Figure F.2.0.6

Example of a Network Operation Questionnaire (continued)

Volume V Section F.2.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 12 of 17

DATA AND RECORD KEEPING QUESTIONNAIRE

| 1. | Plea brie | se indicate data sources and, as necessary, attach example of, or fly describe, the data format? |
|----|--------------|--|
| | (a) | field site/field lab data include: |
| | | rain gauge charts |
| | | copies of data sheets |
| | | copies of logbooks |
| | | other |
| | (b) | analytical lab data include: |
| | | analytical results |
| | | calibration data |
| | | separate QC data |
| | (c) | other data source used in conjunction with acid precipitation: |
| | | meteorological data |
| | | aerometric data |
| | | source emission data |
| 2. | Are | field data checked for reasonableness? |
| | | Yes No Indicate what is checked: |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Figure F.2.0.7

Example of a Data and Record Keeping Questionnaire

Volume V Section F.2.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 13 of 17

DATA AND RECORD KEEPING QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| 3. | Are a | analytical lab data checked for reasonableness? | | | | | |
|----|-------|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|
| | | Yes No _ | Indicate wh | at is checked: | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 4. | Are a | a portion of datactivity or we | ata from field i ight measuremen | re-verified by lab | (such as duplicat | e pH, | |
| | | Yes No | Specify: _ | | | | |
| | Are r | eplicate resu | its tabulated an | nd available for re | view? Yes N | o | |
| 5. | Are s | such crosscheck | s used to valid | date or flag data? | | | |
| | | | | cutoff points: _ | • | | |
| 6. | How a | | | | | | |
| | How o | often? | | To whom? | • | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 7. | Where | and how are | data archived? | | | | |
| | For h | low long? | | | | | |
| 8. | What | corrective act | lons are taken | for out-of-control | situations? | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Figure F.2.0.7

Example of a Data and Record Keeping Questionnaire (continued)

Volume V Section F.2.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 14 of 17

DATA AND RECORD KEEPING QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| How are data adjusted or deleted? |
|--|
| In what format and medium are the data submitted? |
| Are the procedures for data handling (i.e. validation, flagging, reporting and screening) documented in the standard operating procedures? |
| Yes No |
| If not, where are the procedures documented? |
| Does each pollutant have a unique identification code? |
| Yes No and |
| Does each analysis method have a unique identification code? |
| Yes No |

Figure F.2.0.7

Example of a Data and Record Keeping Questionnaire (continued)

Volume V Section F.2.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 15 of 17

DATA AND RECORD KEEPING QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| | <u>Pollutant</u> | Pollutant Code | <u>Method Code</u> | |
|---|---|----------------|--------------------|--|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| ٠ | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | *************************************** | | | |
| | | | - | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | ^ | | 4-1-1 | |
| | · | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Figure F.2.0.7

Example of a Data and Record Keeping Questionnaire (continued)

Volume V Section F.2.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 16 of 17

QUALITY ASSURANCE QUESTIONNAIRE

| 1. | is th | ere a defined quality assurance function ongoing within the network? |
|----|-------|--|
| • | | Yes No Comment |
| 2. | ls th | is function independent of all routine operations? |
| | | Yes No Comment |
| 3. | | the individual responsible for this function regularly evaluate or the following operations? |
| | (a) | Site operations (performance audits)? Yes No |
| | | Comment on frequency: |
| | (b) | Site data? Yes No |
| | | Indicate percentage of data recalculated: |
| | (c) | Analytical laboratory operations? Yes No |
| | | Indicate dates of last audits of lab: |
| | (d) | Analytical laboratory data? Yes No |
| | | Indicate percentage of data recalculated: |
| 4. | | quality assurance maintain (and/or prepare) independent check ions or standards specifically used to monitor accuracy? |
| | | Yes No Comment on types, concentrations and uses: |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Figure F.2.0.8

Example of a Quality Assurance Questionnaire

Volume V Section F.2.0 Revision.0 January 1, 1988 Page 17 of 17

QUALITY ASSURANCE QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| Does the analytical support lab participate in EPA, USGS and othe interlaboratory round robin test programs? Yes No Comment on frequency and attach last result Summary: | |
|---|-----|
| Yes No Comment on frequency and attach last result | |
| Summan. | • • |
| Summary: | s. |
| | |
| • | |

Figure F.2.0.8

Example of a Quality Assurance Questionnaire (continued)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD

AIR MONITORING QUALITY ASSURANCE

VOLUME V

AUDIT PROCEDURES MANUAL

APPENDIX F.3.0

FIELD OPERATIONS EVALUATION

MONITORING & LABORATORY DIVISION

JANUARY 1988

Volume V Section F.3.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 1 of 15

F.3.0 FIELD OPERATIONS EVALUATION

F.3.0.1 Introduction - A field site systems audit is normally conducted in three steps. First a questionnaire is sent to the site prior to the audit visit. The site operator should then fill out the questionnaire through the use of attachments. Second, the questionnaire is reviewed by the auditor to become familiar with the system operations and to determine any weaknesses and potential problem areas. Third, after the questionnaire has been reviewed, the on site interviews are scheduled. The preliminary review of the questionnaire serves the purpose of allowing a greater amount of time to be spent on site examining potential problem areas.

The auditor should interview the site operator responsible for precipitation sample analysis, data validation and reporting. The information gathered from these interviews should be complete and up to date and should present an adequate picture of the current and proposed levels of implementation of all quality assurance activities, including internal quality control.

At the conclusion of the interview, the auditor should inform the site operator of the audit interview results and discuss any potential data impacting problems uncovered. This is commonly referred to as an exit interview. During this activity, the auditor also explains the reporting procedures and schedule.

F.3.0.2 General Guidance for Site Documentation - During the initial phase of network installation, each site should be documented using a site report form. This form should be completed by organization personnel to record station location, site classification, station instrumentation, topography and important pollution sources. This documentation should be updated at least annually thereafter, to reflect the changes that occur at the sites (i.e. construction of a new building).

It is important that the information contained on such site documentation be verified as accurate. While it does not fall within the scope of the quality assurance function to prepare these site documents, the Quality Assurance Officer should verify, for a small number of sites, that the information contained in such documents is accurate and complete. He/she should note any changes which may affect data quality and notify organization management of such problems. Of particular importance in this regard are sites where collocated instrumentation has been placed; such data may be used to estimate measurement or data precision.

Volume V Section F.3.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 2 of 15

- F.3.0.3 <u>Site Evaluation Reporting</u> At the conclusion of a site evaluation or evaluation of a group of sites for a single organization, the auditor should prepare a brief written report. This report should include at least a discussion of observations made during the site visit as noted in the questionnaire and a copy of the site documentation used for the evaluation. Where major discrepancies are noted, additional information needs to be included. If further documentation has been provided by the auditor, a newly completed accurate site description document should be attached. Recommendations to improve siting and thus the data quality obtained from the respective sites should be included.
- F.3.0.4 <u>Questionnaires</u> Figure F.3.0.1 and F.3.0.2 provide information on site documentation and field site evaluation.

Volume V Section F.3.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 3 of 15

SITE DOCUMENTATION REVIEW QUESTIONNAIRE

| 1. | Site Address |
|----|--|
| | Designation (Number/Identifier) |
| 2. | Has the data acquisition objective changed? |
| | Yes No Comment |
| 3. | Verify the longitude and latitude by independently obtaining maps of the area. |
| | OK Problem |
| 4. | Are the names, addresses and identification of responsible individuals still valid? If not, note changes: |
| | |
| 5. | Verify that all instrumentation is present and note any that are not operational. Give reason for non-operation and estimate of downtime. Is this a potential data impactor? |
| | Comment |
| 6. | Has additional equipment been added since the site documentation was prepared or equipment removed or changed? Add any changes to the equipmentist: |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Figure F.3.0.1

Example of a Site Documentation Review Questionnaire

Volume V Section F.3.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 4 of 15

SITE DOCUMENTATION REVIEW QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| | If measurements are made at the site (or closely located site laboratory), verify the indicated information on type, model, description, etc., of pH and conductivity meters, balance, etc. |
|---|--|
| | OK Problem |
| • | Is there a map indicating location and distances to the major sources which may affect data gathered at the site? |
| | Yes No |
| | is the map still valid? Yes No |
| | Or have the number and/or location of sources changed? |
| | Note problem areas: |
| • | Review sketch of map. is it complete with respect to indication ofroadways, parking areas, buildings (including number of stories), tree lines, power lines, bodies of water, and fences? |
| | Complete Incomplete (note problem areas) |
| | |
| • | Verify all distances using a tape measure or rule. Indicate significant discrepancies: |
| | |
| • | Walk around the site and compare view in the four cardinal directions with that as given in the site photos. If photos have not been included with the site documentation, the auditor should take at least one in each of the four cardinal directions (north, south, east and west) looking outward from the main sampler. |

Figure F.3.0.1

Example of a Site Documentation Review Questionnaire (continued)

Volume V Section F.3.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 5 of 15

SITE DOCUMENTATION REVIEW QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| 13. | Are there any obstacles with a height that subtends an angle of 30° with the ground horizontal from the center of the site that is not at least twice as far from the site as the obstacle is tall? |
|-----|--|
| 14. | Are the precipitation collectors and/or rain gauges at least 7 feet (2 meters) apart and no further than 15 meters apart? |
| | Yes No Comment |
| 15. | Are rain gauge and precipitation collector placed in a lane perpendicular or parallel to the prevailing wind, or in the direction specified for network sites? If parallel, is the wet bucket end upwind of the rest of the collector? |
| | |
| 16. | Is the rain gauge level? |
| | Yes No Comment |
| 17. | is the access door to the rain gauge on the leeward side of the wind path? Yes No Comment |
| 18. | is the rain gauge capable of measuring 0.01" (.025 cm) of precipitation? |
| | Yes No Comment |
| 19. | Is the precipitation fall to the sites unobstructed? (The auditor should comment on vegetative obstructions such as trees which do not now pose any problems but which may impact precipitation within the next few years.) |
| | |
| | |

Figure F.3.0.1

Example of a Site Documentation Review Questionnaire (continued)

Volume V Section F.3.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 6 of 15

SITE DOCUMENTATION REVIEW QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| | | Yes | No | _ Commer | nt | | | |
|-----|------|---------|-----|----------|---------|---------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| 21. | futu | re? Not | the | _ | changes | and sch | quipment in estimate a | the near ny potentia |
| | | | | | | | | |

Figure F.3.0.1

Volume V Section F.3.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 7 of 15

FIELD SITE EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE

This portion of the questionnaire concerns measurements made by field or support personnel independent of any measurements made by the analytical support laboratory. If some measurements are made only by the analytical lab, please mark only those applicable to the field operation.

This part of the questionnaire is to be repeated for each site visited during a program audit.

| 1. | Sta | ndard Operating Procedures (SOPs) |
|----|-----|--|
| | а. | Has the organization written and implemented official Standard Operating Procedures? |
| | | Yes No Comment |
| | | Implementation Date: |
| | b. | is the SOP Manual followed in detail? |
| | | Yes No Comment |
| | c. | Does it contain all quality control steps practiced? |
| | | Yes No Comment |
| | đ. | Does each analyst have a copy at his/her disposal? |
| | | Yes No Comment |
| | e. | Has an instrument performance study been completed for each analysis? |
| | | Yes No Comment |

Figure F.3.0.2

Example of a Field Site Evaluation Questionnaire

Volume V Section F.3.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 8 of 15

FIELD SITE EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| 2. | Site | e Operator Training | | |
|----|------|--|------------|--------------------|
| | a. | is a formal training program used? | Yes | No |
| | | If yes, is it organization-wide? | Yes | No |
| | | In-house? | Yes | No |
| | | on-the-job training? | Yes | No |
| 3. | Sit | address: | | |
| | | Designation (number/identifier): | | *** |
| 4. | cal | s the agency have the necessary hand tool ibration equipment to operate and maintal ges and repair samplers at the site? | | |
| | | Yes No Comment | | |
| 5. | For | precipitation collection are the followi | ng types c | of equipment used? |
| | a. | Automatic precipitation collectors? | | |
| | b. | Bucket manual-type collectors? | | |
| | c. | Recording rain gauges (sensitive to +0.0 | 1 in. (0.2 | 5 mm)? |
| | d. | Event pen markers on rain gauges? | | |
| 6. | Are | buckets cleaned at site? Yes No | · — | |
| | • | identify responsible person: | | |
| 7. | Doe | s site have adequate supply of delonized | water? Ye | s No |
| | | (Indicate source) and average conductivi | ty (uS/cm) | : |
| | | | • # ** | |

Figure F.3.0.2

Example of a Field Site Evaluation Questionnaire (continued)

Volume V Section F.3.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 9 of 15

FIELD SITE EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| 8. | Please indicate the types, makes and models of field measurement equipmer (attach pages as necessary - not necessary if site documentation has been attached). | | |
|-----|--|--|--|
| 9. | Are there an adequate number of clean buckets kept at the site? | | |
| | Yes No Indicate number usually on hand: | | |
| 10. | is the collector sensor cleaned periodically with deionized water? | | |
| | Yes No How often? | | |
| 11. | For wet/dry collectors, is the rim of the dryfall bucket wiped clean regularly? | | |
| | Yes No How often? | | |
| 12. | is the underside of the foam pad cleaned regularly? | | |
| | Yes No How often? | | |
| 13. | is the rain sensor tested regularly? | | |
| | Yes No How often? | | |
| | By what method? | | |
| 14. | is the dryfall bucket inspected for moisture at each site visit? | | |
| | Yes No How often? | | |
| 15. | Are rain gauge pens (weight trace and event) checked for ink? | | |
| | Yes No How often? | | |
| 16. | Is the rain gauge clock wound at prescribed intervals? | | |
| | Yes No Indicate Interval: | | |
| | | | |

Figure F.3.0.2

Example of a Field Site Evaluation Questionnaire (continued)

Volume V Section F.3.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 10 of 15

FIELD SITE EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| 17. | is the clock accurate to \pm one-half hour per week? | | |
|-----|---|--|--|
| | Yes No Comment | | |
| 18. | Are bucket rinses peformed regularly acc procedures? | cket rinses peformed regularly according to standard operating ures? | |
| | Yes No Comment | | |
| 19. | Indicate the frequency of calibrations for: | | |
| | a. Rain gauge | per | |
| | b. pH meter | per | |
| | c. Conductivity meter | per | |
| | d. Balance for precipitation weighting | per | |
| 20. | Are rain gauges calibrated: | | |
| | a. upon installation? | Yes No | |
| | b. at least semi-annually? | Yes No | |
| | c. after major maintenance? | Yes No | |
| | d. when performance audits indicate the | need? Yes No | |
| 21. | Is a conductivity standard solution kept at the site? | | |
| | Yes No Indicate source: _ | | |
| | Indicate concentration umh | no/cm. Kept for how long? | |
| 22. | Are the shelf life and accuracy of condu | activity standards documented? | |
| | Yes No Comment | • | |

Figure F.3.0.2

Example of a Field Site Evaluation Questionnaire (continued)

Volume V Section F.3.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 11 of 15

FIELD SITE EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| 23. | is the conductivity meter calibrated under the same condition as used for the samples? |
|-----|--|
| | Yes No Comment |
| 24. | Is the conductivity meter calibrated with NBS traceable simulated precipitation reference solutions in addition to the calibration standard? |
| | Yes No Frequency: |
| | Source of reference solution: |
| | Briefly outline procedure used to establish traceability: |
| 25. | Are standard pH buffers kept at the site? Yes No |
| | pH 4 (source) |
| | pH 7 (source) |
| | Other (source) |
| 26. | Is the pH meter calibrated with NBS traceable simulated precipitation reference solutions in addition to standard buffers? |
| | Yes No Frequency: |
| | Source of reference solutions: |
| | Briefly outline procedure used to establish traceability: |
| 27. | Is conductivity standard kept refrigerated when not in use? |
| | Yes No Comment |

Figure F.3.0.2

Volume V Section F.3.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 12 of 15

FIELD SITE EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| | | | | mut at the state of the state o | |
|-----|-----|-----------|--------------|--|--|
| | | Yes | NO | Briefly outline procedure: | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 29. | ls | | | ature measured? | |
| | | Yes | No | Indicate temperature range (°C): | |
| 30. | Whe | re are me | asurement | t data recorded? | |
| | a. | Site Log | jbook? _ | | |
| | b. | Data She | et? | | |
| | d. | Other? | | | |
| 31. | Are | other ch | neck solu | tions maintained at the site? | |
| | | Conduct | <u>lvlty</u> | | |
| | | level | source | frequency of use shelf life | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Figure F.3.0.2

Volume V Section F.3.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 13 of 15

FIELD SITE EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| | рH | | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | <u>level</u> | source | frequency of use | shelf life |
| | · · · · · | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | | | | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| 32. | is a check or | n the rain | gauge calibration made | regularly? |
| | Yes | No Ir | ndicate frequency: | |
| 33. | is the outsid | de of the w | wet bucket wiped dry be | fore weighing? |
| | Yes | No Co | omment | |
| 34. | is precipita | tion measur | ed by weight? Yes | _ No |
| | By volume | ? Yes | No | |
| 35. | Has the balar | nce used to | weight precipitation | been calibrated? |
| | Yes | No | Frequency: | |
| 36. | Has the bala | nce calibra | ation been performed wi | th traceable weights? |
| | Yes | No Ir | ndicate traceability of | weights: |
| 37. | Is balance ze | eroed befor | e each use? | • |
| | Yes | No Co | omment | |

Figure F.3.0.2

Volume V Section F.3.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 14 of 15

FIELD SITE EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| 38. | How | are pH and conductivity of | cells/electrodes stored | between use? |
|-----|------------|---|--------------------------|----------------------|
| • | | На | Conductivity | Y |
| | | buffer (indicate pH) | conductivity | y standard |
| | | delonized water | deionized w | ater |
| | | other | other | |
| 39. | Are mad | samples allowed to come e e? | to room temperature befo | ore measurements are |
| | | Yes No Comment | | |
| 40. | Are | separate sample aliquots | used for pH and conduc | tivity? |
| | | Yes No If no, | indicate which measurem | • |
| 41. | Are | aliquots discarded after Yes No Comment | | |
| 42. | How | are samples shipped to t | | • |
| | | In buckets | In bottles | in plastic bags |
| | | By air | By surface mail | · By truck |
| | | With cold packs | At ambient temperature | |
| | | Comments | | |
| | | | | |

Figure F.3.0.2

Volume V Section F.3.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 15 of 15

FIELD SITE EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| 43. | Are | samples shipped within 24 hours of collection? | 'es No |
|-----|-----------|---|-----------------|
| | | Briefly describe sample storage and treatment pri | or to shipment: |
| | | | |
| 44. | Do | copies of field measurements accompany the sample? | Yes No |
| | | Are any additional copies made? Yes No | |
| | | How many: Purpose: | |
| 45. | Do pos | these records indicate (please attach an example of sible): | data sheet if |
| | | date of event | Yes No |
| | | beginning and ending dates for cumulative sampling period | Yes No |
| | | amount of precipitation | Yes No |
| | | temperature | Yes No |
| | | Н | Yes No |
| | | conductivity | Yes No |
| | | signature . | Yes No |
| | | additional comments | Yes No |

Figure F.3.0.2

STATE OF CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD

AIR MONITORING QUALITY ASSURANCE

VOLUME V

AUDIT PROCEDURES MANUAL

APPENDIX F.4.0

LABORATORY OPERATIONS EVALUATION

MONITORING & LABORATORY DIVISION

JANUARY 1988

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 1 of 40

F.4.0 LABORATORY OPERATIONS EVALUATION

Each analytical support laboratory should be evaluated at least once each year to qualitatively assess the laboratory's ability to produce analytical data of high quality.

F.4.0.1 Procedure - A laboratory systems audit is normally conducted in three steps. First a questionnaire is sent to the analytical laboratory prior to the audit visit. The laboratory should then fill out the questionnaire as completely as possible and return it with sufficient documentation through the use of attachments. Second, the questionnaire is reviewed by the auditor to become familiar with the system operations and to determine any weaknesses and potential problem areas. Third, after the questionnaire has been reviewed, the on-site interviews are scheduled. The preliminary review of the questionnaire serves the purpose of allowing a greater amount of time to be spent on-site examining potential problem areas.

The auditor should interview the laboratory manager, any person who has direct analytical responsibility for precipitation sample analysis, personnel associated with data validation, analysis and reporting, and the person identified by the laboratory manager who has responsibility for quality assurance. The information gathered from these interviews should be complete and up to date and should present an adequate picture of the current and proposed levels of implementation of all quality assurance activities, including internal quality control.

At the conclusion of the series of interviews, the auditor should inform the laboratory manager of the audit interview results and discuss any potential data impacting problems uncovered. This is commonly referred to as an exit interview. During this activity, the auditor also explains the reporting procedures and schedule.

F.4.0.2 <u>Laboratory Questionnaires</u> - Figures F.4.0.1 through F.4.0.13 provide information on the analysis methods, standard laboratory operations, data entry, data bank validation, laboratory quality control, ion validation and laboratory management.

The data entry questionnaire should be completed by every person involved in the data entry and review process. The laboratory quality control questionnaire should be completed by every person responsible for the operation of an analytical instrument.

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 2 of 40

ANALYTICAL LABORATORY QUESTIONNAIRE

General information

| Questionnaire Completion Da | ite | • | |
|--|------------------|---|--|
| On-Site Visit Date | | | |
| Laboratory: | | | |
| Street Address: | | | |
| | State: | | |
| Laboratory Phone No. (Area | Code) () | | |
| Organization Director: | | | |
| Laboratory Director: | | | |
| Quality Assurance Officer: | | | |
| (Quality Control Chemist) | | | |
| Questionnaire completed by of the questionnaire comple | eted): | | |
| | | | |
| On-Site Audit Conducted by | | | |
| Affiliation of Auditor(s): | | | |
| | + | | |
| Persons Present During Entr | rance interview: | | |
| | | | |
| Persons Present During Exit | t Interview: | | |
| | | | |

Figure F.4.0.1

Example of an Analytical Laboratory Questionnaire General Information

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 3 of 40

GENERAL LABORATORY OPERATIONS QUESTIONNAIRE

| 1. | Ple | ase use a simple block diagram laboratory functions within i | to show the organization structure and how t . |
|----|-----|---|---|
| 2. | Sta | ndard Operating Procedures (SC | P) |
| | a. | Has the organization written Procedures? | and implemented official Standard Operating |
| | | Yes No Comment | · · |
| | | implementation Date: | |
| | b. | is the SOP Manual followed in | detail? |
| | | Yes No Comment | |
| | c. | Does it contain all quality of | control steps practiced? |
| | | Yes No Comment | |
| | d. | Does each analyst have a copy | at his/her disposal? |
| | | Yes No Comment | |
| | | Implementation Date: | |
| | e. | Has an instrument performance | ce study been completed for each analysis? |
| | | Yes No Comment | • |
| 3. | bac | ase provide a complete list of kground, analytical experience cipitation sample analysis. | laboratory personnel, their educational in general and specific experience in |
| 4. | Lab | oratory Staff Training | |
| | a. | is a formal training program | used? Yes No |
| | | If yes, is it: | |
| | | Organization-wide? . | Yes No |
| | | In-house? | Yes No |
| | | On-the-job training? | Yes No |

Figure F.4.0.2

Example of a General Laboratory Operations Questionnaire

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 4 of 40

GENERAL LABORATORY OPERATIONS QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| Item | Avail: Yes | | (adequacy | of | <u>Comments</u> facility | and/or | space |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|-----------|----|---------------------------------------|--------------|----------|
| 1. Support Gas | | | | | | • | |
| 2. Lighting | | • | | | | | |
| 3. Compressed Air | | | | | | | |
| 4. Vacuum Systems | | | | | * | | |
| 5. Electrical Services | | | | | | | |
| 6. Hot and Cold Water _ | | | | | | | |
| 7. Laboratory Sink | | | | | | | |
| 8. Ventilation System _ | | | | | | | |
| 9. Hood Space | | | | | | | |
| 10. Cabinet Space | | | | | | | |
| 11. Bench-top Area | | | | | | | |
| 12. Lab Space | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 18.1,18.4,18 | |
| 13. Lab Space Utilized _ | | | | | | | |
| for Offices | | | | | | | · . |
| 14. Office Space | | | | | | | |
| 15. Storage Space | | | | | | | <u> </u> |

Figure F.4.0.2

Example of a General Laboratory Operations Questionnaire (continued)

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 5 of 40

GENERAL LABORATORY OPERATIONS QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

6. Laboratory Equipment

| | No. ; | Equi | pment : | Condition/ | % of Time |
|---------------------------------------|-------|------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|
| 1 tem | | Make | | Good-Fair-Poor | |
| Balance Analytical | 1 | | | | |
| Vacuum Filtration Apparatus | | | | | |
| NBS Traceable Calib Thermometer | | | · | | , |
| Desiccator | | | | | |
| lon Chromatograph | | | | | |
| Technicon | | | | | 1 |
| Atomic Absorption _ | | | | 1 1 1 | ! ! ! |
| Balance, Top Loader | | | | | 1 |
| Class "S" Weights _ | · . | | | <u> </u> | |
| Balance table | | <u> </u> | | 1 | |
| Distilled Water or Deionized Water | | i | | i | ! ! ! |
| Conductivity Meter | | ! ! | | | 1 |
| Glassware | | <u> </u> | | 1 1 1 | |
| pH Meter | | ! | | 1 | |
| Drying Oven | | 1 | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Hot Plates | | <u> </u> | | | |
| Refrigerator | | ! ! | | 1 | 1 |

Figure F.4.0.2

Example of a General Laboratory Operations Questionnaire (continued)

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 6 of 40

GRAVIMETRIC MEASUREMENT QUESTIONNAIRE

| | | <u>Yes</u> | No |
|----|---|------------|----|
| | is the analytical balance calibrated daily with weights traceable to NBS? | | |
| 2. | Is a balance calibration log kept up to date? | | _ |
| з. | is routine factory service scheduled? | . — | |
| | Date next service is due: | | |

Figure F.4.0.3

Example of a Gravimetric Measurement Questionnaire

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 7 of 40

PH MEASUREMENT QUESTIONNAIRE

| Ana i | yst | | |
|-------|---|-----|------------|
| | (Name) | | |
| | | Yes | No |
| 1. | Does the analyst have his/her own copy of the standard operating procedures? | | |
| 2. | Does the analyst have his/her own copy of instrument performance data? | | |
| 3. | Does the analyst have his/her own copy of safety instructions? | | |
| 4. | Does the analyst have his/her own copy of the latest monthly quality control plots? | | |
| 5. | Is the analyst aware of the most recent control limits? | | |
| 6. | Does the analyst have a copy of the most recent list of samples in-house to be analyzed? | | _ |
| | Date of list | | |
| 7. | Are all solutions properly labelled? | | , <u> </u> |
| 8. | Has a pH meter/electrode acceptance test been completed and documented for the meter and electrode currently in use? | | |
| 9. | Is the pH electrode rinsed well before and after buffer and sample measurements? | | |
| 10. | Before and after samples are analyzed, is the pH meter and electrode calibration checked with NBS traceable simulated precipitation reference samples (low ionic strength)? | | |

Figure F.4.0.4

Example of a pH Measurement Questionnaire

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 8 of 40

pH MEASUREMENT QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| angama gara-aka |
|-----------------|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Figure F.4.0.4

Example of a pH Measurement Questionnaire (continued)

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 9 of 40

pH MEASUREMENT QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| re replicate samp | les performed? |
|--|---|
| Are the results of available for revi | the replicate samples tabulated and ew? |
| How often are the Assurance Section? | replicate results provided to the Quality |
| | |
| In what format? _ | |
| _ | control charts provided to the Quality |

Figure F.4.0.4

Example of a pH Measurement Questionnaire (continued)

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 10 of 40

CONDUCTANCE MEASUREMENT QUESTIONNAIRE

| Ana i j | yst | | |
|---------|--|------------|--------|
| | (Name) | | |
| | | <u>Yes</u> | No |
| 1. | Does the analyst have his/her own copy of the standard operating procedures? | - | · — |
| 2. | Does the analyst have his/her own copy of instrument performance data? | | _ |
| 3. | Does the analyst have his/her own copy of safety instructions? | | |
| 4. | Does the analyst have his/her own copy of the latest monthly quality control plots? | | |
| 5. | is the analyst aware of the most recent control limits? | | _ |
| 6. | Does the analyst have a copy of samples in-house to be analyzed? | | |
| | Date of list | | |
| 7. | Are all solutions properly labelled? | | _ |
| 8. | Has a conductance meter/cell acceptance test been completed and documented for the meter and cell currently in use? | | · · |
| 9. | is the conductance cell rinsed well before and after standard and sample measurements? | | |
| 10. | Before and after samples are analyzed, is the conductance meter and cell calibration checked with a NBS traceable simulated precipitation reference sample (low ionic strength)? | | |

Figure F.4.0.5

Example of a Conductance Measurement Questionnaire

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 11 of 40

CONDUCTANCE MEASUREMENT QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| | Yes | |
|---|-----|--|
| Briefly outline the procedure used to establish traceability of the working standards to NBS. | | |
| | | |
| Is the conductance meter and cell calibration verified after every set of twenty samples with the conductivity check sample | ? | |
| is the conductance check sample analyzed first and are the results compared to the pre-established control or warning limits? | | |
| Are the following control samples analyzed with each run? | | |
| Distilled Water Blanks | • | |
| Old Samples | - | |
| QA Spike | _ | |
| What corrective actions are taken for out-of-control situations? | | |
| | | |
| How are data adjusted or deleted? | | |
| | : | |
| Are replicate samples performed? | | |

Figure F.4.0.5

Example of a Conductance Measurement Questionnaire (continued)

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 12 of 40

CONDUCTANCE MEASUREMENT QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| | | Yes | No |
|-----|---|-----|----|
| 18. | Are the results of the replicate samples tabulated and available for review? | • | |
| 19. | How often are the replicate results provided to the Quality Assurance Section? | | |
| | In what format? | · | |
| 20. | How often are the control charts provided to the Quality Assurance Section? | | |
| | | ÷ | |
| 21. | How often are the results of the distilled water blanks, old samples and spike samples provided to the Quality Assurance Section? | | |
| | | | |

Figure F.4.0.5

Example of a Conductance Measurement Questionnaire (continued)

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 13 of 40

AUTOMATED COLORIMETRY MEASUREMENT QUESTIONNAIRE

| Ana I | yst | | |
|-------|--|-------|-----------|
| | (Name) | | |
| | | Yes . | <u>No</u> |
| 1. | Does the analyst have his/her own copy of the standard operating procedures? | | |
| 2. | Does the analyst have his/her own copy of instrument performance data? | | _ |
| 3. | Does the analyst have his/her own copy of safety instructions? | - | _ |
| 4. | Does the analyst have his/her own copy of the latest monthly quality control plots? | | _ |
| 5. | is the analyst aware of the most recent control limits? | | _ |
| 6. | Does the analyst have a copy of the most recent list of samples in-house to be analyzed? | | |
| | Date of list | | |
| 7. | Are all solutions properly labelled? | | |
| 8. | is a standard preparation form completed when new stock standards are prepared? | | · |
| 9. | Are dilute calibration standards prepared fresh daily? | | · |
| 10. | is the analyst spike prepared fresh dally from an independent stock? | | |
| 11. | Is the calibration curve at least a five point curve? | · | |
| 12. | is the calibration verified using a NBS traceable simulated precipitation reference sample (low ionic strength)? | | |
| | | | |

Figure F.4.0.6

Example of an Automated Colorimetry Measurement Questionnaire

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 14 of 40

AUTOMATED COLORIMETRY MEASUREMENT QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| | <u>Yes</u> | No |
|---|-------------|----|
| Briefly outline the procedure used to establish traceability of the working standards to NBS. | | |
| | • . | |
| is the first calibration curve of the day checked for detection limit and linearity? | | |
| Are the analyst spike data calculated and plotted real time? | | |
| Is each new calibration curve checked to see that instrumental response changed less than 5%? | | _ |
| Are the following control samples analyzed with each run? | | |
| Blanks | | |
| Old Samples | | |
| Analyst Snike | | |

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 15 of 40

AUTOMATED COLORIMETRY MEASUREMENT QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| | Is the colorimeter mirror assembly and color filter | <u>Yes</u> | No |
|---|---|------------|---------|
| • | cleaned and the alignment optimized once per three months? | | |
| | Date of last service | | |
| • | What corrective actions are taken for out-of-control situations? | | • |
| | How are data adjusted or deleted? | | |
| | | | |
| | Are replicate samples performed? | | |
| • | Are the results of the replicate samples tabulated and available for review? | | <u></u> |
| • | How often are the replicate results provided to the Quality Assurance Section? | | |
| | In what format? | | |
| • | How often are the control charts provided to the Quality Assurance Section? | | |
| • | How often are the results of the blanks, old samples, analyst spikes and QC spikes provided to the Quality Assurance Section? | | |
| | | | |

Figure F.4.0.6

Example of an Automated Colorimetry Measurement Questionnaire (continued)

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 16 of 40

ION CHROMATOGRAPHY ANALYSIS QUESTIONNAIRE

| Ana i | yst | | | |
|-------|---|----------|-------------|----|
| | (Name) | | | |
| | | | Yes | No |
| 1. | Does the analyst have his/her own copy of the standard operating procedures? | | | |
| 2. | Does the analyst have his/her own copy of instrument performance data? | | | |
| 3. | Does the analyst have his/her own copy of safety instructions? | . | | |
| 4. | Does the analyst have his/her own copy of the latest monthly quality control plots? | | | |
| 5. | is the analyst aware of the most recent control limits? | | | _ |
| 6. | Does the analyst have a copy of the most recent list of samples in-house to be analyzed? | | | |
| | Date of list | | | |
| 7. | Are all solutions properly labelied? | | | |
| 8. | is a standard preparation form completed when new stock standards are prepared? | | | |
| 9. | Are dilute calibration standards prepared fresh daily? | | | _ |
| 10. | If manual techniques are used, are samples and eluent prepared fresh daily from the same concentrated stock buffer? | | | |
| 11. | is the analyst spike prepared from an independent stock? | | | |
| 12. | Is the calibration curve at least a four point curve for each analytical range? | | | |

Figure F.4.0.7

Example of an ion Chromatography Analysis Questionnaire

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 17 of 40

ION CHROMATOGRAPHY ANALYSIS QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| | | Yes |
|---|----------|-----|
| Is the first calibration curve of the day checked for detection limit and linearity? | | |
| Is the calibration verified using a NBS traceable simulated precipitation reference sample (low ionic strength)? | | |
| Briefly outline the procedure used to establish traceability of the working standards to NBS. | | |
| | <u> </u> | |
| What corrective actions are taken for out-of-control situations? | ı | |
| | | |
| | | |
| How are data adjusted or deleted? | | |
| How are data adjusted or deleted? | | |
| | | |
| How are data adjusted or deleted? Are replicate samples performed? Are the results of the replicate samples tabulated and available for review? | | |

Figure F.4.0.7

Example of an ion Chromatography Analysis Questionnaire (continued)

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 18 of 40

ION CHROMATOGRAPHY ANALYSIS QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| | | Yes | NC. |
|------------------------|--|-----|-----|
| | are the control charts provided to the ssurance Section? | | |
| data calc | resent recoveries for the analyst spike ulated in real time and compared to lished warning and control limits? | | _ |
| Are the f each run? | ollowing control samples analyzed with | | |
| Blan | ks | | |
| Old | Samples | | |
| Ana I | yst Spikes | | |
| QC S | pike | | |
| analyst s | are the results of the blanks, old samples, pikes and QC spikes provided to the Quality Section? | | |
| | ip tray examined daily for reagent spills, pills cleaned up daily? | | |
| Are pumps | oiled once per week? | | _ |
| is the an | ion precolumn cleaned once per month with CO ₃ ? | | |
| Is the Brand docum | , NO resolution checked once a month ented? | | |

Figure F.4.0.7

Example of an Ion Chromatography Analysis Questionnaire (continued)

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 19 of 40

ATOMIC ABSORPTION ANALYSIS QUESTIONNAIRE

| Ana I | lyst | | |
|-------|--|---------------|----|
| | (Name) | | |
| | | Yes | No |
| 1. | Does the analyst have his/her own copy of the standard operating procedures? | | |
| 2. | Does the analyst have his/her own copy of instrument performance data? | | |
| 3. | Does the analyst have his/her own copy of safety instructions? | | |
| 4. | Does the analyst have his/her own copy of the latest monthly quality control plots? | ******* | |
| 5. | is the analyst aware of the most recent control limits? | | |
| 6. | Does the analyst have a copy of the most recent list of samples in-house to be analyzed? | | |
| | Date of list | | |
| 7. | Are all solutions properly labelled? | | |
| 8. | is a standard preparation form completed when new stock standards are prepared? | | |
| 9. | Are dilute calibration standards prepared fresh dally? | - | |
| 10. | is the analyst spike prepared fresh daily from an independent stock? | | - |
| 11. | is the instrument allowed to warm up at least 15 minutes with the flame on before the final wavelength adjustment is made? | | |
| 12 | is the calibration curve at least a five point curve? | , | |

Figure F.4.0.8

Example of an Atomic Absorption Analysis Questionnaire

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 20 of 40

ATOMIC ABSORPTION ANALYSIS QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| | <u>Yes</u> | <u>No</u> |
|--|-------------|-----------|
| is the first calibration curve of the day checked for detection limit and linearity? | | |
| is the calibration verified using a NBS traceable simulated precipitation reference sample (low ionic strength)? | | _ |
| Briefly outline the procedure used to establish traceability of the working standards to NBS. | | |
| What corrective actions are taken for out-of-control situations? | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| How are data adjusted or deleted? | | |
| | | |
| How are data adjusted or deleted? | | - |
| How are data adjusted or deleted? | | |

Figure F.4.0.8

Example of an Atomic Absorption Analysis Questionnaire (continued)

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 21 of 40

ATOMIC ABSORPTION ANALYSIS QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| | <u>Yes</u> | <u>No</u> |
|---|---|--|
| How often are the control charts provided to the Quality Assurance Section? | | |
| | | |
| Are the analyst spike data calculated and plotted real time? | | • . |
| Is each new calibration curve checked to see that instrumental response changed less than 5%? | | _ |
| Are the following control samples analyzed with each run? | | _ |
| Blanks | | _ |
| Old Samples | | _ |
| Analyst Spikes | | |
| QC Spike | _ | · |
| How often are the results of the bianks, old samples, analyst spikes and QC spikes provided to the Quality Assurance Section? | | |
| | Are the analyst spike data calculated and plotted real time? Is each new calibration curve checked to see that instrumental response changed less than 5%? Are the following control samples analyzed with each run? Blanks Old Samples Analyst Spikes QC Spike How often are the results of the blanks, old samples, analyst spikes and QC spikes provided to the Quality | How often are the control charts provided to the Quality Assurance Section? Are the analyst spike data calculated and plotted real time? Is each new calibration curve checked to see that instrumental response changed less than 5%? Are the following control samples analyzed with each run? Blanks Old Samples Analyst Spikes QC Spike How often are the results of the blanks, old samples, analyst spikes and QC spikes provided to the Quality |

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 22 of 40

LABORATORY QUALITY CONTROL QUESTIONNAIRE

| Qua! | ity Control Chemist | | |
|------|---|-----|----|
| | (Name) | Yes | No |
| 1. | Does the QC chemist have his/her own copy of the standard operating procedures? | | _ |
| 2. | Does the QC chemist have his/her own copy of instrument performance data? | · | _ |
| 3. | Does the QC chemist have his/her own copy of safety instructions? | | |
| 4. | Does the QC laboratory follow chain-of-custody procedures from sample receipt to discard? | | |
| 5. | Are minimum detection limits calculated by an approved method or baseline standard deviation? | - | |
| 6. | Are calibration curve coefficients tabulated and regularly reviewed as evidence for instrumental control? | | |
| 7. | Are all chemicals dated on receipt and discarded when shelf life is exceeded? | | |
| 8. | Are all samples received by the laboratory logged into a bound notebook? | | |
| 9. | Are all samples filtered before ion analysis? | _ | _ |
| 10. | Are all samples stored in the refrigerator between analyses? | | |
| 11. | Are all containers washed before they are sent to the field? | | |
| 12. | is the conductivity of the last rinse water measured for 1 out of 5 of the washed containers? | | |
| 13. | Are the results of the rinses recorded, tabulated and sent to the Quality Assurance Section? | | |

Figure F.4.0.9

Example of a Laboratory Quality Control Questionnaire

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 23 of 40

LABORATORY QUALITY CONTROL QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| | | Yes | No |
|-----|--|-----|----|
| 14. | If the conductivity of the rinse is greater than 2 uS/cm, is the container rinsed further? | | |
| 15. | After the containers and IIds are dried are the containers capped immediately? | | _ |
| 16. | Are precautions taken not to touch the inside of the containers and lids? | | _ |
| 17. | Are precautions taken not to breathe on the sample? | | |
| 18. | After completion of the analyses, are the samples stored in a refrigerator for at least six months? | | |
| 19. | Does the QC chemist have his/her own copy of the latest monthly quality control charts? | | |
| 20. | Is the QC chemist aware of the most recent control limits for each analytical method? | | |
| 21. | Does the QC chemist update control limits and obtain new control chart plots once per month? | | |
| 22. | Does the QC chemist review the quality control data sheet and then decide whether or not to release data for reporting? | | |
| 23. | Are the control charts submitted along with the analytical data to the lab manager and to the Quality Assurance Section? | | |
| 24. | Are control charts, regression charts or computer QC data bases up to date and accessible? | | |
| 25. | Does the QC chemist prepare check samples for the field sites? | | |
| | Describe the sources of the samples. | | * |
| | | | |

Figure F.4.0.9

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 24 of 40

LABORATORY QUALITY CONTROL QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| | | <u>Yes</u> | No |
|-----|--|-------------|----|
| 26. | Does the QC chemist compare the laboratory and field data to the monthly check sample's value and report this to the laboratory manager? | | |
| 27. | Does the QC chemist prepare and submit a blind QC spike once per month for each analytical method? | | |
| 28. | Does the QC chemist routinely review and report blind QC spike data to the laboratory manager and the Quality Assurance Section? | | |
| 29. | Are field data checked for reasonableness? | | |
| | Indicate what is checked: | | |
| | | | |
| 30. | Are rain gauge chart data for event times and amount checked? | | _ |
| 31. | Are field data sheets filed in an organized manner? | · | |
| 32. | Are analytical lab data checked for reasonableness? | | |
| | Indicate what is checked: | | |
| | | | |
| 33. | Are a portion of data from the field reverified by lab (such as duplicate pH, conductivity or weight measurements)? | · . | |
| | Specify: | | |
| 34. | Are such crosschecks used to validate or flag data? | | _ |
| | Indicate any cutoff noints. | | |

Figure F.4.0.9

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 25 of 40

LABORATORY QUALITY CONTROL QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| | | | <u>Yes</u> | <u>No</u> |
|-----|---|-----|-------------|-----------|
| 35. | Do laboratory records include the following information | ? | | |
| | a. Sample identification number | | | |
| | b. Station identification | | | |
| | c. Sample type | | | |
| | d. Date sample received in laboratory | | | |
| | e. Time, date and volume of collection | ශාප | | _ |
| | f. Date of analysis | | | |
| | g. Analyst | | | |
| | h. Results of analysis (including raw analytical data) | | | |
| | i. Recipient of the analytical data | | | |
| 36. | Please indicate data sources and, as necessary, attach examples of, or briefly describe, the data format. | | | |
| | a. Field site/field lab data include: | | | |
| | rain gauge charts | | _ | |
| | copies of data sheets | | _ | |
| | copies of logbooks | | _ | |
| | other | | _ | |
| | b. Analytical lab data include: | | | |
| | analytical results | | _ | |
| | calibration data | | | |
| | separate QC data | | _ | |
| | | | | |

Figure F.4.0.9

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 26 of 40

LABORATORY QUALITY CONTROL QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| | | <u>Yes</u> | No |
|--|---------------------|------------|------------|
| Are computer printouts and reports routinely spot checked against laboratory records before data are released? | | _ | |
| What corrective actions are taken for out-of-control situations? | | | |
| | _ | | |
| | - | | |
| | - | | |
| How are data adjusted or deleted? | | | |
| | - | | |
| | | | |
| Are the data for bucket rinses and blanks reported? | | | · <u> </u> |
| To whom? | - | | |
| Are replicate samples performed? | | | ٠ |
| Are the results of the replicate samples tabulated and available for review? | | | |
| How often are data transmitted to the Air Quality Data Section? | | | |
| | - | | |
| Who is responsible for data transmittal? | · - . | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Figure F.4.0.9

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 27 of 40

DATA ENTRY QUESTIONNAIRE

| vata | Ent | ry Clerk | | |
|------|-----------|--|-----|----|
| | | (Name) | | |
| | | | Yes | No |
| 1. | Doe of | s the data entry clerk do a 100% QC check for accuracy data input into the computer? | | _ |
| 2. | ls · | output from computer checked with input data? | | |
| 3. | Do | laboratory records include the following information? | | |
| | a. | Sample Identification number | | _ |
| | b. | Station identification | | |
| | c. | Sample type | | |
| | d. | Date sample received in laboratory | | |
| | e. | Time, date and volume of collection | | |
| • | f. | Date of analysis | | |
| | g. | Analyst | | |
| | h. | Results of analysis (including raw analytical data) | | · |
| | ١. | Recipient of the analytical data | | |

Figure F.4.0.10

Example of a Data Entry Questionnaire

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 28 of 40

DATA BANK VALIDATION QUESTIONNAIRE

| Data | Ana | lyst | · | | |
|------|------|---------|--|------------|---------------|
| | | | (Name) | | |
| | | | | <u>Yes</u> | No |
| | | | ndicate data sources and, as necessary, attach of, or briefly describe, the data format. | | |
| | a. | field | site/field lab data include: | | |
| • | | | rain gauge charts | | |
| | • | | copies of data sheets | | |
| | | | copies of logbooks | | |
| | | | other | | |
| | b. | ana I y | tical lab data include: | | |
| | | | analytical results | | |
| | | | calibration data | | |
| | | | separate QC data | | |
| | c. | other | data source used in conjunction with acid precipitation. | | |
| | | | meteorological data | | |
| | | | aerometric data | | • |
| | | | source emission data | | |
| 2. | Do I | abora | atory records include the following information? | | |
| | а. | Samp | e identification number | | . |
| | b. | Stati | on identification | | _ |
| | c. | Sampi | le type | | _ |
| | d. | Date | sample received in laboratory | | _ |

Figure F.4.0.11

Example of a Data Bank Validation Questionnaire

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 29 of 40

DATA BANK VALIDATION QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| <u>Yes</u> | <u>No</u> |
|-----------------|-------------|
| -111 | |
| | |
| | _ |
| | |
| **** | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| - | _ |
| | Yes |

Figure F.4.0.11

Example of a Data Bank Validation Questionnaire (continued)

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 30 of 40

ION VALIDATION QUESTIONNAIRE

| 1. | Which of the following ion concentrations are normally used for the data validation analysis? |
|----|---|
| | ст н+ |
| | NO ₃ NH ₄ + |
| | so ₄ ⁻² Na ⁺ |
| | PO ₄ ⁻³ K ⁺ |
| | HCO ₃ Mg ⁺² |
| | он ⁻ ca ⁺² |
| | |
| 2. | What additional ion concentrations are used for the data analysis? |
| | |
| 3. | Which of the above ion concentrations are calculated from other concentrations? |
| 4. | What equations are used to determine the calculated ion concentrations? |
| 5. | Is the ion percent difference (1%d) calculated for each sample? |
| | Yes No |
| 6. | What equation is used to determine $1\%d$? (Let A = anion concentration, ueq/L and C = cation concentration; ueq/L.) |
| | |

Figure F.4.0.12

Example of an ion Validation Questionnaire

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 31 of 40

ION VALIDATION QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| 7. | is 1%d calculated both for the field (using the field pH measurement) and for the laboratory (using the laboratory pH measurement)? |
|----|---|
| | Yes No |
| 8. | Is 1%d compared with a data base of historical 1%d values? |
| | Yes No |
| 9. | Is the 1%d data base site-specific? |
| | Yes No |
| ο. | Are the 1%d pass/fail limits site-specific? |
| | Yes No |
| 1. | Are the 1%d pass/fail limits concentration-dependent? |
| | Yes No |
| 2. | What are the 1%d pass/fall limits? |
| | A + C 1%d Pass Range |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 3. | is the conductance percent difference (C%d) calculated for each sample? |
| | Yes No |

Figure F.4.0.12

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 32 of 40

ION VALIDATION QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| ٤. | What equation is used to determine $C%d?$ (Let Caic = calculated conduct and Meas = measured conductance.) |
|----|--|
| | |
| | |
| 5. | What factors are used to convert from concentration units, mg/L, to conductance units, uS/cm? |
| | сı н ⁺ |
| | NO3 - NH4 + |
| | so ₄ ⁻² Na ⁺ |
| | PO ₄ ⁻³ K ⁺ |
| | HCO ₃ Mg ⁺² |
| | он ⁻ са ⁺² |
| • | Is C%d calculated both for the field (using the field pH and conductant measurements) and for the laboratory (using the laboratory pH and conductance measurements)? |
| | Yes No |
| | |
| • | Is C%d compared with a data base of historical C%d values? |
| | Yes No |
| | is the C%d data base site-specific? |
| | Yes No |

Figure F.4.0.12

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 33 of 40

ION VALIDATION QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| 19. | Are the C%d pass/fail limits site-specific? |
|-----|--|
| | Yes No No |
| 20. | Are the C%d pass/fail limits concentration-dependent? |
| | Yes No |
| 21. | What are the C%d pass/fail limits? |
| | Measured Conductance C%d Pass Range |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 22. | For each sample, which of the following ion ratios are compared with normal ranges for various geographical, climatological and/or industrial/nonindustrial areas? |
| • | CI ⁻ /Na ⁺ |
| | Na ⁺ /K ⁺ |
| | Mg ⁺² /Ca ⁺² |
| | NO ₂ ⁻ /SO ₄ ⁻² |

Figure F.4.0.12

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 34 of 40

ION VALIDATION QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| 23. | Are any other ion ratios compared with normal ranges for various geographical, climatological and/or industrial/nonindustrial areas? |
|-----|--|
| | Yes No |
| | Which ion ratios? |
| 24. | If the NO $_3^-/SO_4^{-2}$ ratio is compared with inland and coastal norms, is SO_4^{-2} corrected for sea salt? |
| | Yes No |
| 25. | What equation is used to determine the sea sait correction? |
| 26. | Is each site identified as to its geographical or climatological area such as arid, inland, coastal and/or industrial? Yes No |
| | |
| 27. | Are other intercomparisons made among the various ions? |
| | Yes No |
| 28. | Define the intercomparisons. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Figure F.4.0.12

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 35 of 40

ION VALIDATION QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| • | How are the field pH and conductance measurements compared with the corresponding laboratory measurements? |
|---|--|
| • | Are the field vs. laboratory pH and conductances compared with a historic data base? |
| | Yes No |
| • | Are the field vs. laboratory pH and conductance measurement data bases site-specific? |
| | Yes No |
| | Are the field vs. laboratory pH and conductance pass/fail limits site-specific? |
| | Yes No |
| • | Are the field vs. laboratory pH and conductance pass/fail limits concentration-dependent? |
| | Yes No |
| • | What are the (field-laboratory) pH pass/fail limits? |
| ٠ | (fleid/laboratory)pH Pass Range |
| | |
| | |

Figure F.4.0.12

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 36 of 40

ION VALIDATION QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| 35 . | What are the (field-laboratory) | conductance pass/fall limits? |
|------|---|---|
| | conductance | (field/laboratory) conductance Pass Range |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 36. | Is the rain gauge measurement (I | nches) converted to a volume equivalent? |
| | Yes No | |
| 37. | What factor is used to convert f the volume equivalent (mL)? | rom the rain gauge measurement (inches) to |
| | | |
| 38. | is the measured bucket volume co | mpared to the rain gauge equivalent volume? |
| | Yes No | |
| 39. | is there a pass/fall range for t volume and the rain gauge equiva | he comparison between the measured bucket lent volume? |
| | Yes No | |
| 40. | is the pass/fail range volume-de | pendent? |
| | Yes No. | |

Figure F.4.0.12

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 37 of 40

ION VALIDATION QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| 41. | What is the pass/fall range? |
|-----|---|
| 42. | What type of data transformation is performed on the concentration data preparatory to performing the intercomparisons? |
| • | No transformation |
| | Logarithmic transformation |
| | Constant variance transformation |
| | Other (specify) |
| 43. | Are the ion measurements compared with a data base of historical data? |
| | Yes No |
| 44. | Is the historical data base site-specific? |
| | Yes No |
| 45. | What sample data are excluded from the site-specific historical data base? |
| | No data is excluded |
| | Low volume (< ml) samples are excluded |
| | Outliers at the% level of significance are excluded |
| | Other (specify) |
| • | A site-specific historical data base is not available |

Figure F.4.0.12

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 38 of 40

ION VALIDATION QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| 46. | Are the intercomparisons involving SO_4^{-2} corrected for sea sait? |
|-------------|---|
| | Always |
| | Never |
| | Calculated both ways with final choice depending upon which gives higher correlations based on historical data |
| 47. | Are there site-specific pass/fail limits for the ion intercomparisons? |
| | Yes No |
| 48. | What statistical level of significance is used as a criterion for setting the pass/fail limits for laboratory reanalysis? |
| 49. | What factors are taken into account in the statistical analysis for determining outliers? |
| | ion concentration ratio only |
| | Ion concentration ratio and concentrations |
| | Other |
| 5 0. | What corrective actions are taken for out-of-control situations? |
| | |
| 51. | How are data adjusted or deleted? |
| | |
| | |

Figure F.4.0.12

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 39 of 40

ION VALIDATION QUESTIONNAIRE (continued)

| 52. | Are bucket rinse and filter blank data considered when verifying ion concentrations? |
|-----|---|
| | Yes No |
| | Explain procedure. |
| | |
| 53. | Are reports prepared on the number of reanalyses required based on ion validation procedures? |
| | Yes No |
| | Are copies of the reports sent to the Quality Assurance Section? |
| | Yes No Frequency |

Figure F.4.0.12

Volume V Section F.4.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 40 of 40

LABORATORY MANAGEMENT QUESTIONNAIRE

| Labo | ratory Manager | | |
|------|--|---|-----------|
| | (Name) | | |
| | | Yes | <u>No</u> |
| 1. | Does the laboratory manager have his/her own copy of the standard operating procedures? | | _ |
| 2. | Does the laboratory manager have his/her own copy of instrument performance data? | | |
| 3. | Does the laboratory manager have his/her own copy of safety instructions? | | |
| 4. | Does the laboratory manager have his/her own copy of the latest monthly quality control plots? | | |
| 5. | Is the laboratory manager aware of the most recent control limits? | | |
| 6. | Does the laboratory manager review the following before reporting data? | *************************************** | |
| | a. The data itself | | |
| | b. The quality control data sheet with analyst notes | | |
| | c. The quality control chemist QC reports | | |
| | d. The ion summation ratios for the data | | |
| | e. The calculated vs. measured sample conductivity | | _ |
| 7. | Does the laboratory manager ensure that at least 5% of the data have been checked independently by the QA Officer? | | |
| 8. | Does the laboratory manager ensure that all the necessary corrections have been implemented in the data base before release? | | |
| 9. | Does the laboratory manager ensure that the computer | | _ |
| | printouts and reports are routinely spot checked against laboratory records before data are released? | | |

Figure F.4.0.13

Example of a Laboratory Management Questionnaire

STATE OF CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD

AIR MONITORING QUALITY ASSURANCE

VOLUME V

AUDIT PROCEDURES MANUAL APPENDIX F.5.0 REFERENCES

MONITORING & LABORATORY DIVISION

JANUARY 1988

Volume V Section F.5.0 Revision 0 January 1, 1988 Page 1 of 1

F.5.0 REFERENCES

- 1. Quality Assurance Handbook for Air Pollution Measurement Systems: Volume II, Ambient Air Specific Methods, Section 2.0.11, U.S. E.P.A., September 1985.
- Quality Assurance Manual for Precipitation Measurement Systems, Environmental Monitoring Systems Laboratory, U.S. E.P.A., revised January 1985.
- 3. NADP Quality Assurance Plan Deposition Monitoring, WADP Quality Assurance Steering Committee, 1984.
- 4. Quality Assurance Manual (draft), Volume V, Audit Procedures Manual.